

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,209	09/29/2000	Mikimasa Suzuki	1-85	3030
23400	7590 02/12/2003			
LAW OFFICES OF DAVID G. POSZ			EXAMINER	
2000 L STR	,		FARAHANI, DANA	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/675,209	SUZUKI ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dana Farahani	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 38-51</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) □ approved or b) □ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although, Kinzer, as applicants argue, discloses a common gate electrode connected to all gates (column 6, lines 45-47), note that this correspond to the prior art of Kinzer (figure 2). Although, this may or may not apply to figure 19, it is not expressly disclosed in Kinzer that the same is true for figure 19 (that is all the gates are connected). However, what is disclosed in Kinzer, expressly, is figure 19, in which it is clearly shown that every gate electrode is separate.

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY TOWN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800